

EURISOL Multi-MW Target Preliminary Study of the Liquid Metal Proton-to-Neutron Converter



EURISOL Meeting, 10-11 March 2005, CERN

Preliminary Calculations

- Projectile Particle: Proton
- Beam Shape: Gaussian, $\sigma \sim 1.7$ mm
- Energy Range: 1–2–3 GeV
- Target Material: Hg / PbBi
- Target Length: 40–60–80–100 cm
- Target Radius: 20–30–40 cm
- Spatial and energy particle distribution



1 GeV Primary Proton Flux

1 GeV Proton range ~46 cm







- The beam opens up to ~20 degrees, with some primary back-scattering
- Primaries contained in ~50 cm length and ~30 cm radius

Energy Deposition for 1 GeV protons



• Maximum energy deposition in the first ~ 14 cm in the beam axis beyond the interaction point, ~ 30 kW/cm³/MW of beam

- Energy deposition drops one order of magnitude at the proton range (~46 cm)
- Large radial gradients (dE/dr \sim 200) in the interaction region

Neutron Flux Distribution for 1 GeV Protons







- Neutron flux centered radially around ~ 10 cm from the impact point
- \bullet Isotropic flux after $\,{\sim}15$ cm from the center, decreasing with R^2
- Escaping neutron flux peaking at ~300 keV (evaporation neutrons), with a 100 MeV component in the forward direction (direct knock-out neutrons)
- Neutron yield increasing with target volume

Neutron Energy Spectrum vs Fission Cross-Section in Uranium



- Very low fission cross-section in ²³⁸U below 2 MeV (~10⁻⁴ barns). Optimum neutron energy: 35 MeV
- Alternatively, use of natural uranium: fission cross-section in ²³⁵U (0.7% wt.) for 300 keV neutrons: 1.24 barns
- Further gain if neutron flux is moderated



Alternative Target Configurations



Alternative Target Configurations



• Increasing HE neutron flux through the End-Cap with decreasing Hg target length

- Increasing charged-particle and photon escapes with with decreasing Hg target length
- Possible use of a low-Z filter to "tune" the average neutron energy to 35 MeV (maximise fission probability in ²³⁸U)





Neutron Balance Density for 1 GeV Protons



•Neutron absorbing region ~6 cm behind the interaction region, following the primary particle distribution

- Neutron producing region extending to the end of the target
- Small contributions from regions beyond the proton range
- Neutron producing region not extending beyond r = 10-13 cm

2 GeV Primary Proton Flux

-6

2 GeV Proton range ~110 cm





- Forward peaked primary distribution at ~10 degrees
- No back-scattering and rare radial escapes
- Few end-cap escapes:
- 2×10^{-3} escapes/primary with an average energy of 1 GeV for 80 cm 2×10^{-5} escapes/primary with an average energy of 0.7 GeV for 100 cm

Energy Deposition for 2 GeV protons



- Largest energy deposition in the first ~18 cm beyond the interaction point, ~16 kW/cm³/MW of beam (40% lower compared to the use of 1 GeV primary protons)
- Identically, smaller radial gradient in the interaction region $(dE/dr \sim 100)$

Neutron Flux Distribution for 2 GeV Protons

Neutron Yield (2 GeV proton) : 57 – 77 n/p







• Neutron flux centered radially around ~15 cm from the impact point, presenting a forward-peaked component

• Escaping neutron flux peaking at ~300 keV, with a 100 MeV component in the forward and radial directions and few 1 GeV neutrons escaping through the end-cap

• Harder neutron energy spectrum and higher flux and in the target compared to the 1 GeV case

Neutron Balance Density for 2 GeV Protons



20

40

60

80

• Increase in the relevance of the axial region in the neutron production

• Neutron producing region still not extending beyond r =10 - 13 cm

• The neutron capturing region gains relevance $(-6\times10^{-4} \text{ bal/cm}^3/\text{prim})$ compared to 1 GeV $(-6\times10^{-5} \text{ bal/cm}^3/\text{prim})$

• Significant reduction in neutron captures (one order of magnitude) by reducing the radius to 20 cm

10_6

10

100

X(cm)

3 GeV Primary Proton Flux

3 GeV Proton range ~175 cm



- The beam opens up to \sim 8 degrees, no back-scattering and few radial escapes (even for 20 cm radius)
- Some primaries escapes through the end-cap ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$ escapes/primary)
- Average energy of the escaping protons ~750 MeV

Energy Deposition for 3 GeV protons



• Largest energy deposition in the first ~22 cm beyond the interaction point, ~12 kW/cm³/MW of beam (60% lower compared to the use of 1 GeV primary protons)

• Smaller radial gradient in the interaction region (dE/dr \sim 50) compared to the 1 GeV case

Neutron Flux Distribution for 3 GeV Protons

Neutron Yield (3 GeV proton) : 82 – 113 n/p







• Neutron flux centered radially around ~20 cm from the impact point, with a larger forward-peaked component

• Escaping neutron flux peaking at ~300 keV, with a 100 MeV component in the forward and radial directions and some 1.5 GeV neutrons escaping through the end-cap

• Slightly higher neutron flux in the target compared to the 2 GeV case

Neutron Balance Density for 3 GeV Protons





PbBi Alternative – 1 GeV Primary Particles



PbBi Alternative – Energy Deposition



PbBi Alternative – Neutron Flux



PbBi Alternative – Neutron Balance



PbBi Alternative – Neutron Capture Cross-section



Conclusions

• Optimised for neutron production:

- Radius: 10 15 cm target radius from neutron balance point of view is enough
- Length: Extend to the proton range to maximise neutron production and avoid charged particles in the UCx
- Energy Spectrum of the neutrons:

Dominated by the intermediate neutron energy range (20 keV - 2 MeV)

Harden neutron spectrum by reducing the target size (but reduce yield and increase HE charged particle contamination)

Use of natural uranium to take advantage of the high fission cross-section of 235 U in the resonance region \Rightarrow Improvement thorough neutron energy moderation

Alternatively, axial converter-UCx target configuration for depleted uranium target

• Very localised energy deposition, 20 cm from the impact point along the beam axis \sim 30 kW/cm³/MW of beam power, reduced with the increasing proton energy

• Possibility of using PbBi eutectic to improve neutron economy and reduce maximum energy deposition

Future Work

• Optimise the energy deposition once the size is fixed

Study the effect of the shape of the beam (parabolic, annular, variations in the sigma of the Gaussian distribution)

- Activation of the target (calculate the spallation product distribution)
- Model the fission target (including moderator/reflector) and optimise the fission yields
- Analyse alternative target disposition to improve the fission yields
- Study the use of deuterons as projectile particle